

TRANSFER ORDER

CV08-4515 SVW (AJWx) - Robert Dillion v. Lifelock, Inc., et al.

Before the entire Panel: Now before the Panel is a motion brought, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, by defendants LifeLock, Inc. (LifeLock) and Richard Todd Davis for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings of the nine actions listed on Schedule A. The motion encompasses two actions in the District of New Jersey and one action each in the District of Arizona, Central District of California, Southern District of Florida, Northern District of Illinois, District of Maryland, Eastern District of Texas, and Southern District of West Virginia.¹

Moving defendants seek centralization in the District of Arizona. Plaintiffs in the District of Arizona action, one District of New Jersey action, the related District of Arizona action and the related Central District of California action have responded in support of the motion. Plaintiff in the related Northern District of Illinois action supports centralization but suggests the Northern District of Illinois as the transferee district.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, we find that these nine actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization under Section 1407 in the District of Arizona will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. All actions share allegations concerning the marketing, advertising and service guarantee offered by common defendant LifeLock. Centralization under Section 1407 will eliminate duplicative discovery; prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings, particularly with respect to class certification issues; and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary.

The District of Arizona stands out as the appropriate transferee forum. Two actions are already pending in the District of Arizona, and both moving defendants, and, with one exception, responding plaintiffs support centralization in this district. Also, given that common defendant LifeLock has its corporate headquarters in Arizona, relevant documents and witnesses will likely be found there.

By.

Deputy

The parties have notified the Panel of three related actions pending, respectively, in the District of Arizona, the Central District of California and the Northern District of Illinois. These actions and any other related actions will be treated as potential tag-along actions. See Rules 7.4 and 7.5, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001).

i hereby attest and certify on 10.0000 that the foregoing document is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in my office and in my custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the actions listed on Schedule A and pending outside the District of Arizona are transferred to the District of Arizona and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Mary H. Murguia for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the action listed on Schedule A and pending in that district.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

John G. Heyburn II Chairman

J. Frederick Motz Kathryn H. Vratil W. Royal Furgeson, Jr. Robert L. Miller, Jr. David R. Hansen

IN RE: LIFELOCK, INC., MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION

MDL No. 1977

SCHEDULE A

Dis	trict	of	Ar	izo	na
	*****	•	* **	****	****

Byrl Lane v. LifeLock, Inc., C.A. No. 2:08-594

Central District of California		
Robert Dillon v. LifeLock, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-4515	(2:08 cv 2024)	
Southern District of Florida		
Vilma Martinez-Azoy v. LifeLock, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:08-21989	(2:08 cv 2025)	
Northern District of Illinois		
James Kondrat, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc., C.A. No. 1:08-3244	(2:08 cv 2026)	
District of Maryland		
Gerald Falke, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:08-1351	(2:08 cv 2027)	
District of New Jersey		
Jason Sbalcio v. LifeLock, Inc., C.A. No. 1:08-2799 Warren Pasternack, et al. v. LifeLock, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:08-2098	(2:08 cv 2028) (2:08 cv 2029)	
Eastern District of Texas		
Tommy Ly v. LifeLock, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-242	(2:08 cv 2030)	
Southern District of West Virginia		
Kevin Gerhold v. LifeLock, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-857	(2:08 cv 2031)	